

Senate Email Addresses:

Sen. George Barker: District 39 Sen. John Bell: District 13 Sen. Jennifer Boysko: District 33 Sen. Amanda Chase: District 11 Sen. John Cosgrove: District 14 Sen. Bill DeSteph: District 08 Sen. Creigh Deeds: District 25 Sen. Siobhan Dunnavant: District 12 Sen. Adam Ebbin: District 30 Sen. John Edwards: District 21 Sen. Barbara Favola: District 31 Sen. Emmett Hanger: District 24 Sen. Ghazala Hashmi: District 10 Sen. Janet Howell: District 32 Sen. Jen Kiggans: District 07 Sen. Lynwood Lewis: District 06 Sen. Mamie Locke: District 02 Sen. Louise Lucas: District 18 Sen. David Marsden: District 37 Sen. Monty Mason: District 01 Sen. Jennifer McClellan: District 09 Sen. Ryan McDougle: District 04 Sen. Jeremy McPike: District 29 Sen. Joe Morrissey: District 16 Sen. Steve Newman: District 23 Sen. Tommy Norment: District 03 Sen. Mark Obenshain: District 26 Sen. Mark Peake: District 22 Sen. Chap Petersen: District 34 Sen. Todd Pillion: District 40 Sen. Bryce Reeves: District 17 Sen. Frank Ruff: District 15 Sen. Dick Saslaw: District 35 Sen. Lionell Spruill, Patron: District 05 Sen. Bill Stanley: District 20 Sen. Richard Stuart: District 28 Sen. David Suetterlein: District 19 Sen. Scott Surovell: District 36 Sen. Jill Holtzman Vogel: District 27

Staff for District 38

VML Contact:

Jessica Ackerman - 804.401.0015

Oppose Mandatory Shift from May to November Elections for Virginia Localities

Issue Brief

Across Virginia, 44 percent of cities and 57 percent of towns hold their local elections in May, rather than November. These localities choose to separate their elections from those for state and federal offices for a variety of reasons – doing so keeps the focus of local elections on local issues and keeps the cost of campaigning more accessible for new candidates. The option to hold elections in May gives localities the flexibility they need to best meet the needs of their communities.

<u>SB1157 (Spruill)</u> proposes to mandate that all localities hold their elections in November.

Concerns

The coincidence of local elections with those at the state and federal level inherently raises the level of partisanship of all elections, regardless of whether candidates are running without any party affiliation. By the same token, it introduces partisan politics to nonpartisan local issues; political parties make little difference when it comes to community projects like paving roads and keeping the streetlights on.

To that end, running local, state, and federal elections simultaneously makes it more difficult to keep voters informed. Media attention leading up to November becomes more focused on statewide and national issues, leaving less time and space for discussion of local candidates and issues. This also raises the cost of campaigning for local candidates – especially for candidates new to the field – who have to compete for name recognition from the bottom of an even longer ballot.

Contact senators to join VML in opposing SB1157.

Tell senators that forcing localities to combine local, state, and federal elections...

- Inserts partisanship into the discussion of nonpartisan local issues;
- Draws attention away from local issues in favor of state and federal campaign positions;
- Makes it harder for voters to stay informed; and
- Increases the barriers to both running for office and winning a campaign for new candidates.